

Student Growth Measures Overview

Ohio's new system for evaluating teachers will provide educators with a richer and more detailed view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement. The new system relies on two key evaluation components, each weighted at 50 percent: a rating of teacher performance (based on classroom observations and other factors), and a rating of student academic growth.

The challenge for measuring student growth is that there is not a single student assessment that can be used for all teachers. Local education agencies (LEAs) must use data from the state Ohio Achievement Assessments when available. If those are not applicable for a given subject or grade, LEAs can choose to use other assessments provided by national testing vendors and approved for use in Ohio. For subjects without state assessments or approved Vendor assessments – such as art or music – LEAs should establish a process to create Student Learning Objectives (SLOs) to measure student progress.

This overview will outline the three types of measures to be included, provide important definitions, and explain the three categories of teachers based on data availability and LEA decisions.


- HB 153 requires **50 percent** of the teacher (and principal) evaluation framework to include measures of student growth.
- The student growth component includes **multiple measures**.
- This component includes student growth measures for **three categories of teachers** based on availability of Teacher Value-Added and LEA decisions.
- **Teacher-level Value-Added** *must* be included where available (“tested grades and subjects” = reading and mathematics, grades 4-8).
- The Ohio Department of Education (ODE) must create an **assessment list** for measuring growth in “non-tested grades and subjects.”
- **LEA-determined** measures also will be included.
- A **Student Learning Objective (SLO)** process will be utilized for LEA-determined measures.
- Data from these measures will be scored based on five levels, comparable to Teacher Value-Added reports, and converted to a score in one of three levels of student growth (Above, Expected, Below).
- The student growth component shall be updated as **research and best practices** emerge.

Why measure student growth?

The National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality (NCCTQ) states, “the focus on evaluating educators by measuring growth rather than attainment is fairer to teachers and principals whose students enter classrooms well below grade level.” These measures have the potential to inform instruction, build stakeholder commitment, provide a critical dimension to the assessment of teacher effectiveness and, most importantly, improve student performance across a broader set of expectations.

How does the Student Growth Measures component fit into the evaluation framework?

Teacher performance and student growth measures are combined in a summative teacher evaluation rating:



Each component’s ratings are combined on the lookup table to determine the summative rating:

Evaluation Matrix

Teacher Performance

		4	3	2	1
Student Growth	Above	Accomplished	Accomplished	Skilled	Developing
	Expected	Skilled	Skilled	Developing	Developing
	Below	Developing	Developing	Ineffective	Ineffective

Important terms and definitions

Student growth. For the purpose of use in evaluation systems, student growth is defined as *the change in student achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time* (excerpted from *Measuring Student Growth for Teachers in Non-Tested Grades and Subjects: A Primer*).

Tested grades and subjects. The U.S. Department of Education (USDOE) defines “tested grades and subjects” as *those covered by the state’s assessment under the ESEA* and “non-tested grades and subjects” as *those without such data*. Because the definition of student growth requires individual student achievement data from two or more points in time, this definition typically limits the tested grades and subjects to Grades 4–10 in the subjects of English language arts and mathematics. In Ohio, this is currently limited to reading and mathematics, Grades 4-8.

Value-Added. In Ohio, Value-Added refers to the EVAAS Value-Added methodology, provided by SAS, Inc. This is distinct from the more generic use of the term “value-added,” which can represent a variety of statistical modeling techniques. The Ohio EVAAS Value-Added measure of student progress at the district and school level has been a component of the Ohio Accountability System for several years. Ohio’s Race to the Top (RttT) plan provides for the expansion of Value-Added to the teacher level. Value-Added calculations currently utilize data from the Ohio Achievement Assessments (OAA). As the new Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessments become operational and replace the current assessment system, they will be integrated into the Value-Added calculations. Additionally, the EVAAS data reporting system has added several features to help educators use this important data. Battelle for Kids (BFK) is providing professional development and other related services across the state.

ODE-Approved Vendor Assessment. HB 153 requires ODE to develop a list of student assessments that measure mastery of the course content for the appropriate grade level, which may include nationally normed standardized assessments, industry certification examinations, or end-of-course examinations for grade levels and subjects for which the Value-Added measure does not apply (the non-tested grades). ODE released two rounds of a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) so interested vendors could demonstrate that their assessments qualified for use in Ohio schools. The list of approved assessments will be maintained and updated by ODE.

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs). SLOs are goals identified by a teacher or group of teachers that identify expected learning outcomes or growth targets for a group of students over a period of time. SLOs are determined by teachers after analyzing data on student academic performance and identifying areas that need a targeted effort for all students and subgroups of students. As a way to measure student growth, the objectives demonstrate a teacher’s impact on student learning within a given interval of instruction. Further, they enable teachers to use their own knowledge of appropriate student progress to make meaningful decisions about how their students’ learning is measured. As a collaborative process, SLOs also support teacher teams in their use of best practices.

Shared Attribution Measures. Shared attribution measures are student growth measures that can be attributed to a group. This could include a district, building, department or grade-level team. These measures encourage collaborative goals and may be used as data in the student growth component.

Multiple measures. The teacher evaluation framework is based on multiple measures of performance and student growth. It is important that the summative evaluation rating consider multiple factors across time. Accordingly, there are multiple measures within teacher performance and student growth, within and across years. The student growth measures may include data from multiple assessments and subjects.

Teacher Value-Added, by methodological definition, includes multiple measures on multiple levels. First, the EVAAS methodology incorporates student test histories (across all state-tested subjects) in determining growth metrics. Second, Value-Added creates effectiveness ratings for each tested grade and subject, as well as an aggregate composite rating. For example and analogous to Value-Added on the Local Report Card, a fifth-grade teacher may have a Value-Added rating for fifth-grade mathematics, a separate rating for fifth-grade reading, and an overall composite rating. Third, the Value-Added metric eventually will roll into a three-year composite so that multiple years of multiple measures are represented.

Three categories of teachers based on availability of Teacher Value-Added and LEA decisions

It is important to note that the combination of measures within this general framework will vary, depending on the grades and subjects taught, and LEA decisions. Subsequently, there will be three categories (A, B, C) for teachers based on the availability of Teacher Value-Added and LEA decisions.

The EVAAS Teacher Value-Added reports shall be used in proportion to the part of a teacher’s schedule of courses or subjects for which the measure is applicable.


A: Teacher-level Value-Added data available

A1: Instructs Value-Added courses/subjects exclusively*




*This requirement is phased in. Until June 30, 2014, the majority (>25%) of the student academic growth factor of the evaluation shall be based on Value-Added. On or after July 1, 2014, the entire student academic growth factor of the evaluation shall be based on Value-Added.


A2: Instructs Value-Added courses/subjects, but not exclusively



B: Approved-Vendor Assessment data available



C: No Teacher-level Value-Added or Approved-Vendor Assessment data available



Some student growth data will be based on the previous year’s results due to testing schedules, Value-Added processing, and statutory requirements for evaluation timeline.

Some examples of the teacher categories

Example #1: A sixth-grade math teacher in Category A1 only teaches sixth grade math, and will eventually use only Value-Added as her student growth measure component.

- In 2013-14, her Value-Added report must be at least 26% of the student growth measure component.
- In 2014-15, the Value-Added report would represent the entire 50% of the student growth measure component.

Example #2: A fourth-grade teacher in a self-contained classroom teaches all four Core subjects and is in Category A2:

- The Value-Added composite report (which includes math and reading) would represent 25%. The remaining 25% would be LEA measures.

Example #3: A seventh-grade social studies teacher teaches four periods of history and one period of economics. The LEA uses an assessment that is on the Approved Vendor list for history so this teacher would be in Category B.

- The LEA determines that Category B teachers will use Approved Vendor Assessments for 35% and LEA measures for 15%. Therefore, this seventh-grade social studies teacher would have 35% based on the Approved Vendor Assessment in history, and 15% based on SLOs in economics.

Example #4: A high school music teacher without Value-Added or Approved Vendor Assessment data would be in Category C, and will utilize relevant Student Learning Objectives (SLOs) as LEA measures:

- This music teacher will develop SLOs for the student growth component. If her LEA included a shared attribution measure for Category C teachers, then that would be included.

Data from these measures will be scored based on five levels, comparable to Teacher Value-Added reports, and converted to a score in one of three levels of student growth (Above, Expected, Below).

Three types of Student Growth Measures

The following table describes the three types of student growth measures including certain legislative requirements and LEA options.

1	Teacher Value-Added	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MUST use if available • A1: 50% when the teacher <i>only</i> instructs Value-Added courses/subjects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Requirement begins on or after July 1, 2014. Until then, Value-Added must be greater than 25%. • A2: Otherwise percentage is proportionate to the teacher’s schedule in terms of Value-Added courses/subjects and other courses/subjects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Required to use Value-Added <i>at least</i> proportionately from 10-50%. • EVAAS Value-Added metric from state assessments, aggregated across grades and subjects including up to three years of data into multi-year composite report.
2	Vendor Assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MUST use if LEA has assessment in place and data available according to Vendor’s requirements. • 10-50% if applicable and no Value-Added data available. • Two types of Vendor Assessment measures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Extended EVAAS reporting utilizing vendor assessments such as the Terra Nova, ACT End-of-Course, NWEA MAP, and STAR, or ○ Vendor-based measures from assessments on ODE-Approved List published on ODE website.
3	LEA-Determined Measures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teacher Category A2: MUST use in proportion to teacher’s schedule 0-40%. • Teacher Category B: MAY use depending on LEA decisions 0-40%. • Teacher Category C: MUST use for 50%. • Three types of LEA-Determined Measures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student Learning Objective (SLO) process for measures that are specific to relevant subject matter. Measures must be district-approved and may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other vendor assessments not on the ODE Approved List • Career Technical Educational assessments • Locally developed assessments • Performance-based assessments • Portfolios. ○ Shared attribution measures to encourage collaborative goals and may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building or District Value-Added is recommended if available; • Building teams (such as content and specialized areas) may utilize a composite Value-Added score • Building or District-based SLOs. ○ Teacher Category A2 (with Value-Added) also may use Vendor assessments as an LEA-determined measure proportionate to the teacher’s schedule for non-Value-Added courses/subjects.